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Abstract

Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR) which plays an important role in human-robot interaction should be noise-robust because robots are expected to work in noisy environments. Audio-Visual (AV) integration is one of the key ideas to improve the robustness in such environments. This paper proposes two-layered AV integration for ASR which applies AV integration to Voice Activity Detection (VAD) and ASR decoding process. We implemented a prototype ASR system based on the proposed two-layered AV integration and evaluated the system in dynamically-changing situations where audio and/or visual information is noisy or missing. Preliminary results showed that the proposed method improves the robustness of ASR system even in auditory- or visually-contaminated situations.

Index Terms: audio-visual integration, speech recognition, voice activity detection

1. Introduction

Noise-robust Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR) is essential for home/service robots which are expected to communicate with humans in daily environments. In such an environment, a robot has difficulties in ASR due to various kinds of noises such as environmental noise, other speech sources, and robot’s generating ego-noise. In addition, noise properties may change dynamically because the robot and sound sources move. Thus, ASR robust for dynamically-changing noise is necessary for robots and other hands-free applications.

To realize noise-robust ASR, Audio-Visual (AV) integration is crucial. Many studies on AV-integrated ASR (AV-ASR) have been reported. However, most of them considered AV integration only in an ASR decoding process, that is, AV-integrated decoder (AV-DEC) [1, 2]. Indeed, they showed high speech recognition performances in accuracy and robustness for acoustic noises, but they assumed voice activities were given in advance although the performance of Voice Activity Detection (VAD) strongly affects that of ASR system. Only a few AV-ASR studies reported a combination of audio-based VAD (A-VAD) and AV-DEC [3]. We can also find AV-ASR studies on another combination of AV-integrated VAD (AV-VAD) and a normal audio-based ASR decoder (A-DEC) [4]. In any case, these studies have issues as follows:

1) The use of AV integration is limited either in VAD or in the decoding process although both should be noise-robust,
2) The VAD and ASR performances were evaluated by using ideal image data which is not always available for robots.

In this paper, for 1), we propose two-layered AV integration which applies AV integration to VAD and ASR decoding process, and for 2), we conduct evaluation using visually-contaminated data.

2. Issues in Audio-Visual Integration for ASR

AV integration is promising to improve the robustness of VAD and ASR, and thus, AV integration should be applied to both VAD and ASR. AV-ASR for robots should cope with a crucial problem that audio- and/or visual-features are not always available. An audio feature can be contaminated with noises coming from other speakers and robot’s actuators. A visual feature can be missing due to occlusion and the change of facial direction, and/or can be damaged due to low resolution images for a distant speaker.

2.1. Audio-Visual Integration for VAD

In VAD, AV integration methods are mainly classified into two approaches. One is feature-level integration called Early Integration (EI), and the other is decision-level integration called Late Integration (LI). Almajai et al. reported EI-based AV-VAD using Mel-Frequency Cepstral Coefficient (MFCC) and a visual feature based on the 2-D discrete cosine transform [5]. The integrated AV feature showed high performance for VAD. However, they assumed that the high resolution images of the lips are always available. Murai et al. presented LI-based AV-VAD [4]. They detected a lip activity by using a visual feature based on a temporal sequence of lip shapes and a voice activity based on speech signal power. AV-VAD was performed by extracting the intersection of these detected activities. However, when either lip or voice activity is mis-detected, the total system performance easily deteriorates.

2.2. Audio-Visual Integration for ASR


The issue in EI-based AV-DEC is how to control the balance of audio and visual features. When the audio feature is reliable and visual feature is not, AV-ASR should put more weight on the audio feature and less on the visual feature, and vice versa. We use a stream weight to cope with this issue.

A lot of stream weight optimization methods have been studied. They mainly used log likelihoods in audio and/or visual speech models. For instance, optimization methods based on likelihood value normalization [2] and maximum entropy criterion [6] have been proposed. These methods mainly dealt with acoustic noise using only high resolution images. Thus, it is difficult to apply these methods to an ASR system for a robot,
because resolution and face orientation are dynamically changing. In particular, dealing with resolution changes is a crucial factor for AV-DEC because low resolution images are still considered as informative while most the performance of AV-ASR drops with low resolution images [7].

3. Approaches in Audio-Visual Integration for ASR

3.1. Audio-Visual Integration for VAD

To solve the issue in AV-VAD, we introduce AV-VAD based on a Bayesian network [7], because it provides a framework that integrates multiple features with some ambiguities by maximizing the likelihood of the total integrated system. Asano, et al. proposed AV-VAD based on a Bayesian network [8]. Their system integrated sound source localization and visual human tracking and did not use lip movements while they are efficient. We use the following features as the inputs to the Bayesian network:

- The score of log-likelihood for silence calculated by an ASR decoder ($x_{\text{wav}}$),
- An eight dimensional feature based on the height and the width of the lips ($x_{\text{lip}}$),
- The belief of face detection which is estimated in face detection process ($x_{\text{face}}$).

$X_{\text{wav}}$ is calculated by using an acoustic model of speech recognition, and thus, it takes the property of voice into account. This feature shows high noise-robustness as reported in [9]. $X_{\text{lip}}$ is derived from the temporal sequence of the height and width information by using linear regression [7]. $X_{\text{face}}$ is calculated based on Gabor wavelet and elastic graph matching [10] in a face detection process. Since these features more or less have errors, the Bayesian network is an appropriate framework for AV integration in VAD.

First, we calculate a speech probability by using a Bayesian network. The Bayesian network is based on the Bayes theory defined by

$$P(\omega_j|x) = \frac{p(x|\omega_j)P(\omega_j)}{p(x)} , \quad j = 0, 1$$

where $x$ corresponds to each feature such as $x_{\text{wav}}$, $x_{\text{lip}}$, or $x_{\text{face}}$. A hypothesis $\omega_j$ shows that $w$ or $\omega_j$ corresponds to a silence or a speech hypothesis, respectively. A conditional probability, $p(x|\omega_j)$, is obtained by using a Gaussian Mixture Model which is trained with a training dataset in advance. The probability density functions $p(x)$ and the probability $P(\omega_j)$ are also pre-trained with the training dataset.

A joint probability, $P(\omega_j|x_{\text{wav}}, x_{\text{lip}}, x_{\text{face}})$, is thus calculated by

$$P(\omega_j|x_{\text{wav}}, x_{\text{lip}}, x_{\text{face}}) = \frac{P(\omega_j|x_{\text{wav}})P(\omega_j|x_{\text{lip}})P(\omega_j|x_{\text{face}})}{P(x_{\text{wav}})}$$

By thresholding this probability, we estimate a voice activity.

Next, we perform hangover processing based on dilation and erosion for the temporal sequence of estimated voice activity. Dilation and erosion are commonly used in pattern recognition [11]. In the dilation process, a frame is added to the start-and end-points of voice activity as below:

$$\hat{V}_d[k] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } V[k-1] = 1 \text{ or } V[k+1] = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $V[k] = \{0(\text{non-speech}), 1(\text{speech})\}$ is the estimated voice activity at time frame $k$ and $\hat{V}_d[k]$ is the result of dilation. This process removes false rejects. In erosion process, a

3.2. Audio-Visual Integration for ASR

To cope with the issue in Section 2.2, we introduced stream weight optimization based on Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR) and face size corresponding to the lip image resolution. We first evaluated AV-ASR by changing the audio stream weight from 0 to 1 at 0.1 intervals for several audio and visual noise conditions. From a word correct rate of this test, we decided optimal stream weights for every SNR and image resolution. The estimated audio stream weight was calculated from linear regression of optimal audio stream weights. Figure 1 shows the linear regressions obtained by optimal stream weights. We used six functions to estimate optimal stream weights. The log likelihood $b_w$ of AV feature $x_{AV}$ for a word $w$ at time frame $t$ is calculated using audio stream weight $c_a(0 \leq c_a \leq 1)$ by

$$b_w(x_{AV}(t)) = c_a b_{AV-w}(x_A(t)) + (1 - c_a)b_{V-w}(x_V(t))$$

$b_{AV-w}(x_A(t))$ and $b_{V-w}(x_V(t))$ are likelihoods for an audio and visual features respectively.

4. ASR System Based on Two-Layered AV Integration

Figure 2 shows our ASR system for robots based on two-layered AV integration. It consists of four blocks as follows:

- Visual feature extraction block,
- Audio feature extraction block,
- The first layer AV integration block for AV-VAD,
- The second layer AV integration block for AV-DEC.

In the following sections, we describe two of these four blocks because AV-VAD and AV-DEC are already described in the previous sections. In terms of implementation, we used a Bayesian networks library called OpenPNL for AV-ASR, and Multiband Julius for AV-DEC.

---

1http://sourceforge.net/projects/openpnl
4.1. Visual Feature Extraction Block

This block consists of four modules, that is, face detection, face size extraction, lip extraction, and visual feature extraction. Their implementation is based on Facial Feature Tracking SDK which is included in MindReader2. Using this SDK, we detected face and facial components like the lips. Because the face and lip are detected with its left, right, top, and bottom points, we can easily compute the height and the width of the face and lips by using the face size estimated in face detection. Then, we apply third order polynomial function fitting for temporal sequence of height and width information. We obtain 4 coefficients from each fitting and use these 8 coefficients as visual feature both in VAD and in ASR decoder.

4.2. Audio Feature Extraction Block

This block consists of five modules, that is, sound source localization, sound source separation, audio VAD feature extraction, and audio ASR feature extraction. Their implementation is based on HARK [12]. The audio VAD feature extraction was already explained in Section 2, and thus, the other three modules are described.

We used an 8 channel circular microphone array which is embedded around the top of our robot’s head. For sound source localization, we used MUltiple SIgnal Classification. For sound source separation, we used Geometric Sound Separation (GSS). GSS is a kind of hybrid algorithm of Blind Source Separation (BSS) and beamforming. GSS has high separation performance originating from BSS, and also relaxes BSS’s limitations such as permutation and scaling problems by introducing “geometric constraints” obtained from the locations of microphones and those of sound sources obtained from sound source localization.

For an acoustic feature for ASR, MFCC is commonly used. However, sound source separation produces spectral distortion in separated sounds, and such distortion spreads over all coefficients in the case of MFCC. Since Mel Scale Logarithmic Spectrum (MSLS) is an acoustic feature in the frequency domain, the distortion is concentrated only on specific frequency bands. Therefore, MSLS is suitable for ASR with microphone array processing. We used a 27-dimensional MSLS feature vector consisting of 13-dim MSLS, 13-dim ΔMSLS, and Δ log power.

5. Evaluation

5.1. Experiments and Evaluation

We evaluated the system through two experiments.

Ex.1: The effectiveness of AV-integration for VAD.

Ex.2: The effectiveness of two-layered AV-integration for ASR.

For Ex. 1 and Ex. 2, we recorded a Japanese word AV dataset. This AV dataset contains speech data from 10 males and 266 words (216 ATR phonemically-balanced words and 50 other words) for each male. Audio data was sampled at 16 kHz and 16 bits, and visual data was 8 bit monochrome and 640 × 480 pixels in size, recorded at 33 Hz.

For training, we used acoustically- and visually-clean AV data. To train an AV-VAD model and an AV-DEC model, we used 216 clean AV data from 10 males.

We used two kinds of test datasets. One is 50 AV data which is not included in the training dataset. The other is AV data captured by actual robot shown in Fig. 2. For the former data, the audio data was converted to 8 channel data so that each utterance comes from 0 degrees by convoluting the transfer function of the 8 channel robot-embedded microphone array. After that, we generated audio data whose SNR is from 15 to -5 dB at every 5 dB by adding a music signal from 60 degree as a noise source. To evaluate the effectiveness of microphone array processing mentioned in Section 4, we applied microphone array processing when the SNR is the worst (-5 dB). Also, we generated low resolution visual data whose resolution is one-third (middle) and one-fifth (low) compared with the original one (high) by using a down-sampling technique. The latter data is a 24-second AV data including 15 words shown in Fig. 3. Audio data is contaminated by a music and noise coming from robot’s power source, and visual data includes occlusion of the face and dynamic changes of face size and orientation.

For the ground truth of voice activity, we labeled input data by listening to sounds and looking at waveforms.

In ASR decoding process, we conducted isolated word recognition using a monophone HMM which has three states and 32 Gaussian mixtures in each state.

5.2. Results

Table 1 shows Word Detection Rates (WDRs) for Ex. 1. We assume that VAD succeeds when both start- and end-point errors are less than 200 ms, and WDR is defined as the ratio of the number of successfully-detected words to that of all words. When SNR is from 10 to -5 dB and image resolution is middle or low, AV-VAD shows higher performances than the others, and the improvement in these cases was, on average, 11.5 points. So, we can say that the proposed method improves the robustness for both resolution and SNR changes.

However, in some cases, AV integration worsens the performance of VAD. This is because the Bayesian network was trained by using a clean AV dataset. Multi-condition training would be effective to cope with this problem.

---

2http://mindreader.devjavu.com/wiki
In this paper, we proposed two-layered AV integration to improve the robustness of ASR for a robot. This framework includes AV-VAD based on a Bayesian network and AV-DEC based on stream weight optimization. We implemented a prototype system based on the proposed method and evaluated the performance of the whole ASR system in both auditory- and visually-contaminated situations. Experimental results show that two-layered AV integration improves the robustness of ASR system.

Future work is to cope with dynamic changes of facial orientation, sound source location, and the number of sound sources. Another is stream weight optimization without SNR estimation because SNR estimation is difficult.

6. Conclusion and Future Work

In this paper, we proposed two-layered AV integration to improve the robustness of ASR for a robot. This framework includes AV-VAD based on a Bayesian network and AV-DEC based on stream weight optimization. We implemented a prototype system based on the proposed method and evaluated the performance of the whole ASR system in both auditory- and visually-contaminated situations. Experimental results show that two-layered AV integration improves the robustness of ASR system.

Future work is to cope with dynamic changes of facial orientation, sound source location, and the number of sound sources. Another is stream weight optimization without SNR estimation because SNR estimation is difficult.
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Table 1: Word detection rates (%) in VAD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>visual condition</th>
<th>modality</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>-5</th>
<th>-5*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>high resolution</td>
<td>AV</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>74.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>52.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>middle resolution</td>
<td>AV</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low resolution</td>
<td>AV</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>68.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* VAD with microphone array processing

Table 2: Word correct rates (%) in ASR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>visual condition</th>
<th>modality</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>-5</th>
<th>-5*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>high resolution</td>
<td>AV</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>72.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>52.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>middle resolution</td>
<td>AV</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>75.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low resolution</td>
<td>AV</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>62.4</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>71.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* ASR with microphone array processing

Figure 4 shows a VAD performance using an actual robot. We can see that the proposed method worked robustly even when V-VAD had a poor performance.

Table 2 shows the results of Ex. 2 in Word Correct Rates (WCRs). When SNR is 10, 5, or 0 dB, the AV-ASR performances are better than Audio- or Visual-ASR. Even when SNR is 15 dB, AV integration improves WCR if high or middle resolution images are available.

By comparing Tables 1 and 2, WCR is higher than WDR, that is, the ASR decoder can recognize incorrectly-detected words properly in some cases. This is the effect of margin addition because SNR estimation is difficult.
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