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Abstract

The paper presents the NUS-HLT text-to-speech (TTS) system for the Blizzard Challenge 2020. The challenge has two tasks: 1. Hub task 2020-MH1 to synthesize Mandarin Chinese given 9.5 hours of speech data from a male native speaker of Mandarin; Spoke task 2020-SS1 to synthesize Shanghainese given 3 hours of speech data from a female native speaker of Shanghainese. Our submitted system combines the word embedding, which is extracted from a pre-trained language model, with the E2E TTS synthesizer to generate acoustic features from text input. WaveRNN neural vocoder and WaveNet neural vocoder are utilized to generate speech waveforms from acoustic features in MH1 and SS1 tasks, respectively. Evaluation results provided by the challenge organizers demonstrate the effectiveness of our submitted TTS system.

Index Terms: speech synthesis, text-to-speech, Blizzard Challenge

1. Introduction

Blizzard Challenges have been held since 2005 to promote the research techniques in building corpus-based speech synthesis systems and provide a common platform with the necessary data [1]. This year Blizzard Challenge has two tasks: 1. Hub task 2020-MH1 to synthesize Mandarin Chinese given 9.5 hours of speech data from a male native speaker of Mandarin; 2. Spoke task 2020-SS1 (SS1) to synthesize Shanghainese given 3 hours of speech data from a female native speaker of Shanghainese.

Various techniques have been proposed for text-to-speech (TTS) [2, 3] generation: concatenative speech synthesis [4, 5] and statistical parametric speech synthesis [6, 7, 8] are widely studied in the past decades. For concatenative speech synthesis, small speech segments are selected from the database and then stitched to construct a synthesized speech. Although it is able to produce high quality synthesized speech, the boundary artifacts remain a key issue to be addressed. Statistical parametric speech synthesis is another popular method, which parametrizes speech signals into acoustic features. Different modeling approaches have been applied to map the text information to the acoustic features. In the statistical parametric method, a vocoder, such as STRAIGHT [9] and WORLD [10], is employed to construct waveform from the generated features by the acoustic model. While the prosody is predicted by the duration model. Owing to its high flexibility and the advance of deep learning modeling techniques, the parametric method gains adequate interest in current TTS research field.

Recently, the sequence-to-sequence (seq2seq) models are proposed [11]. They learn to align the input linguistic sequence to the acoustic representation through the attention mechanism, which effectively refrains from possible alignment errors. Besides, such frameworks own a unified, entirely neural network architecture, that is desirable to model the complex feature transformation in a simple and flexible way [12]. Several end-to-end (E2E) TTS systems, e.g., Tacotron [13], Char2Wav [14], DeepVoice [12, 15], have demonstrated their superiority over the conventional structures.

Research has shown that injecting linguistic information at the input step can help the model to better utilize the acoustic features [16]. Inspired by the previous work, we include word embedding that is obtained from a pre-trained language model alongside with acoustic features to enhance the model. Furthermore, by fine-tuning the general-domain language model on a smaller task-domain dataset, it can alleviate the low-resource problem [17] posed by the Shanghainese language. Apart from modeling the linguistic to acoustic feature mapping, the vocoder is the other important functional block in a TTS system. To improve the synthesized speech quality, neural vocoders like WaveNet [18] and WaveRNN [19] are adopted to replace a conventional parametric vocoder.

Based on the recent appealing approaches, we adopt the recent E2E TTS architecture [20] to predict the acoustic features, and we adopt WaveRNN [19] and WaveNet [18] neural vocoders to generate waveform in time-domain from the predicted acoustic features.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes our system implementation. Section 3 demonstrates and discusses the evaluation results. Last, Section 4 concludes this paper.

2. System Architecture

2.1. End-to-End TTS Synthesizer

Our system is illustrated in Figure 1. We base our model on Tacotron2 [20], a seq-to-seq model using recurrent neural network (RNN). It is composed of an encoder and an attention-based decoder.

The encoder aims to generate textual representations from input sequences. We convert character sequences into phone sequences for MH1, while we use the provided phone sequences for SS1. Each phone is represented as one phone embedding via the embedding layer. We concatenate the tone information by tone embedding, and phone embedding together. Then we pass the concatenated representation to 3 convolutional layers, followed by a bi-directional LSTM layer to generate the encoder output.

The encoder output is attended by the attention-based decoder, which is a RNN-based network that predicts acoustic frames using encoder output. The attention mechanism is to compute a fixed-length context vector to provide additional input to the decoder network. Location-sensitive attention is able...
Figure 1: An illustration of model architecture

We adopt the residual encoder structure, proposed in [32], to reduce the frame prediction errors[21]. Guided-attention loss [22] is implemented to boost the alignment convergence. The decoder input is passed through 2 layer pre-net and 2 LSTM layers, followed by a linear projection layer to predict acoustic features. The residual structure of a 5 layer convolutional post-net improves the general reconstruction. The stop token symbol is to predict when the model should stop during inference. To provide an additional linguistic feature, which may benefit tokens sharing the same linguistic function to carry similar prosody, we utilize word embedding trained from large conversational domain corpora [23, 24]. The pre-trained language model [25] is able to process both English and Chinese text, of which only the Chinese word embedding is extracted from the embedding layers. To adapt to the task domain we fine-tune [26, 27] the language model using the task dataset for SS1, while we fine-tune the language model using [28] for MH1. For the MH1 task, we obtain the contextualized embedding from the 12 embedding layers of the language model. The concatenated embedding is projected into a 512-dim vector. For the SS1 task, since there is a lack of large Shanghai Chinese text corpus for a proper fine-tuning, we adopt only the static embedding layer from the pre-trained language model, resulting in a 256-dim word embedding. These serve as an additional input to the end-to-end TTS model, similar to [29, 30, 31].

We adopt the residual encoder structure, proposed in [32], and we inject the word embedding to encoder output, the same as [31]. For MH1 task, the target acoustic feature is 80-dim log-mel spectrogram, extracted using 12.5ms frame shift and 4096-point Fourier transform, while 1024-point Fourier transform for SS1 task.

2.2. Neural Vocoder

For task MH1, WaveRNN [19] neural vocoder is utilized in our system owing to its efficiency in generating high-quality speech waveform. The WaveRNN is trained to map 80-dim mel-spectrogram input features to 10-bit waveform encoded by the $\mu$-law. The WaveRNN model is mainly composed of a preprocessing network, two GRU layers, and an output layer. The preprocessing network is to upsample the mel-spectrogram to match the time-domain speech waveform resolution with the factor of $[6, 10, 10]$. Two GRU layers and the output linear layer all have 512 hidden neurons. The batch size is 32, and the learning rate is set to $1 \times 10^{-3}$. We trained the network for 1,000 epochs. WaveRNN is adopted for this task due to its fast waveform generation capability as there are a number of samples to be synthesized to within limited challenge time.

While for task SS1, WaveNet [33] neural vocoder is employed. WaveNet vocoder is also used to generate raw audio waveform from the 80-dimensional mel-spectrogram. The WaveNet vocoder contains 24 dilated convolution layers, and the k-th layer had a dilation size of $2^{\text{mod}(k-1, 6)}$, where $\text{mod}()$ was the modulo operation. The output of the dilated convolution layers and that of the skip channel had 30 and 128 dimensions, respectively.

3. Result

3.1. Challenge Participants

In total, there are 16 teams submitted their results for the MH1 task, which are denoted from B to Q. While 8 of them, system C, E, I, K, L, M, N, and O, additionally participated in the SS1 task. For both tasks, System A is natural speech. Our system is indicated as E.

3.2. Evaluation metrics

Subjective listening tests were designed to perceptually evaluate the synthetic samples for all systems in both MH1 and SS1 tasks. For the SS1 task, three sets of experiments were conducted to evaluate the synthetic samples, including naturalness, similarity, and intelligibility. While for the MH1 task, there is one more set of experiments that is conducted to evaluate the naturalness of synthetic paragraph. The detailed results will be presented in the next sections.

3.3. Perceptual evaluation for MH1 task

3.3.1. Naturalness of sentence

These sets of experiments were conducted to evaluate the naturalness of the synthetic sentences. The listeners were asked to assign a score to represent how natural or unnatural of the speech sample, where a score 1 indicates the speech sample is "Completely Unnatural", while a score 5 indicates that the speech sample is "Completely Natural".

Figure 2 shows the boxplot of mean opinion scores (MOS) of the naturalness for synthetic sentences. Our system obtains an average MOS of 3.9 with 1.08 standard deviation and ranks at 6th position. While, as a reference, the natural speech has a score of 4.7 with 0.65 standard deviations.

3.3.2. Naturalness of paragraph

These sets of experiments were conducted to evaluate the naturalness of the synthetic paragraphs. Listeners should choose scores for one whole paragraph in seven aspects, e.g. overall impression, pleasantness, speech pauses, stress, intonation, emotion, and listening effort, e.g. 10 means "bad", while 50 means "excellent".

Figure 3 shows the boxplot of overall impression scores for the synthetic paragraph. Our system obtains an average score of 43 with 9.3 standard deviation and ranks at 5th position. As a reference, the average score of natural speech is 49 with a standard deviation of 7.8.

3.3.3. Similarity

During the experiments, listeners were asked to judge how similar the synthetic speech sounded to the reference sample of natural recordings with a score, which is scaled from 1 (Sounds like a totally different person) to 5 (Sounds like exactly the same person).
3.3.4. Intelligibility

The intelligibility evaluation of the MH1 task is performed by dictation, where listeners were asked to write down the contents they heard from the given samples. The performance is evaluated by calculating the Pinyin error rate with tones.

Figure 5 shows the Pinyin with tones error rate (PTER). It is observed that our system did not perform well in this evaluation with a 20.9% PTER and 0.21 standard deviation. As a reference, the natural speech obtains a 7.4% PTER and 0.13 standard deviation. An in-depth investigation could be considered as a research direction and a possible improvement in our future work.

3.4. Perceptual evaluation for SS1 task

3.4.1. Naturalness

A 5-scale MOS is used for naturalness evaluation of SS1 task, e.g. 1 indicates the speech sample is “Completely Unnatural” and 5 indicates that the speech sample is “Completely Natural”. Figure 6 shows the boxplot of MOS of the naturalness for synthetic sentences. Our system achieves a mean MOS of 3.6 and a standard deviation of 1.06. This result is ranked at second place.
3.4.2. Similarity

A 5 scale MOS is used in similarity evaluation, where 1 means the synthetic sample sounds like an entirely different person, and 5 indicates the synthetic sample sounds like exactly the same person. Figure 7 shows the boxplot of MOS of the similarity for synthetic speech. It is observed our system outperforms all the submitted systems and obtains a mean MOS of 4.1 and standard deviation of 1.0.

3.4.3. Intelligibility

Different to the intelligibility evaluation of MH1 task, in this test, each listener should give a score to describe how intelligible or unintelligible of the speech sample. The score is scaled between 1 (Completely unintelligible) to 5 (Completely intelligible). Figure 8 shows the intelligibility scores for all submitted systems. Again, our system achieves a mean MOS of 4.0 and a standard deviation of 1.14. This result is just worse than the system "I" and ranked at second place.

4. Conclusions

This paper presents the NUS-HLT system submitted for Blizzard Challenge 2020. We built a TTS framework that first transforms text input to acoustic features combining with the word embedding and an end-to-end TTS synthesizer, followed by a neural vocoder to construct the audio waveform. The effectiveness of our system is successfully confirmed by the official evaluation results.
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